Joint Research Management Office Standard Operating Procedure for:			
Non-Compliance and Serious Breach Reporting			
SOP Number:	**31**	Version Number:	**6.0**
Effective Date:	**20ᵗʰ April 2023**	Review Date:	**20ᵗʰ April 2026**

Authorship and Review:	
Author:	**Rebecca Carroll, Quality Assurance Manager**
Signature and Date:	
Reviewer:	**Marie-Claire Good, Senior GCP and Governance Manager**
Signature and Date	

Authorisation:	
Name/Position:	**Mays Jawad, Research Governance Operations Manager**
Signature and Date:	

Purpose:
The purpose of this standard operating procedure (SOP) is to describe the management of incidences of non-compliances, potential serious and serious breaches in medical research across Barts Health NHS Trust (Barts Health) and Queen Mary University of London (Queen Mary). This SOP describes recording, corrective and preventative actions (CAPA), root cause analysis (RCA) establishment and escalating these incidences.

Scope:
This SOP is applicable to all staff (including Joint Research Management Office (JRMO) staff) and students involved in medical research taking place at Barts Health and Queen Mary. This SOP applies to all clinical research sponsored or hosted by Barts Health or Queen Mary. It is the responsibility of all staff involved in a study to identify incidences of non-compliance and report potential serious breaches occurring during the day to day running of a clinical trial to the sponsor. The sponsor is responsible for assessing potential serious breaches to decide whether the event is considered to be a serious breach and for notifying the regulatory authority of these.

Abbreviations:	
Barts Health	Barts Health NHS Trust
CAPA	Corrective Action Preventative Action
CI	Chief Investigator
GCP	Good Clinical Practice

IRAS	Integrated Research Application System
JRMO	Joint Research Management Office
NHS	National Health Service
PI	Principal Investigator
QA	Quality Assurance
QMS	Quality Management System
Queen Mary	Queen Mary University of London
SOG	Sponsor Oversight Group
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure

Definitions:
For definitions, please see *Associated Document 1 Non-compliance guidance*.

SOP Text:		
	Responsibility	**Activity**
1.	All (JRMO staff, Chief Investigator (CI), Principal Investigator (PI), research team, sponsor, third parties)	**Identify the occurrence of a non-compliance** All non-compliances/breaches must be reported and recorded for the duration of the study. Upon becoming aware of a non-compliance, report it directly to the JRMO GCP and Governance Manager or QA Manager or via email to *research.safety@qmul.ac.uk*. The email should clearly indicate a non-compliance is being reported. See *Associated Document 1 Non-compliance document guidance document* for further details. The JRMO may request completion of a non-compliance notification form to capture further information where necessary (*Associated document 2 Non-Compliance notification form*)
Potential Serious Breach		
2.	CI/PI/Study team	**Notify the sponsor immediately of any potential serious breaches.** If the study is sponsored by Barts Health or Queen Mary, the JRMO GCP team must be notified of any potential serious breaches, by emailing *research.safety@qmul.ac.uk* ensuring the GCP & Governance Managers and QA Manager are copied into the email. As much information as possible should be provided. This initial notification is day 0 and the 7-day period for notification to the MHRA (Where relevant) commences here. The initial notification, if made by telephone, should be followed by written notification of the potential serious breach. The CI/PI (or delegate) should send the notification to the sponsor representative within 24hrs of becoming aware of the potential serious breach.

		If the incident involves a Barts Health patient or staff member, consider if it meets the requirements of the Barts Health Incident Reporting policy, as necessary. If the incident is related to confidentiality or data protection, please see *SOP 16a Data Protection* for research studies for further contact and reporting details.
**Barts Health and Queen Mary sponsored studies**
3.	JRMO GCP & Governance Manager	**Review the event.** When notified of a potential serious breach, the information should be reviewed in a timely manner to ensure that the event can be reported, if necessary, to the MHRA and/or REC within 7 calendar days Any additional information required should be requested from the study team. The QA manager will log the breach on the non-compliance log, update with all significant information and retain all pertinent correspondence. For further details see *Associated document 1 Non-Compliance guidance document.*
4.	JRMO GCP & Governance Manager and/or QA Manager	**Assess the event and decide if it is a serious breach.** Once the necessary information has been received, the GCP & Governance Manager/QA Manager must assess the event and decide whether it is a serious breach (please refer to the *MHRA* and *HRA* website for further guidance on the notification of serious breaches of GCP or the trial protocol). For studies that are ongoing in the UK, if a serious breach is identified at an investigator site outside the UK that has a significant impact on the integrity of study participants at that non-UK site and is likely to have a significant impact on the integrity of study subjects in the UK, then this will require notification to the MHRA. If the event meets the criteria of a serious breach for a sponsored MHRA regulated study, complete the form as specified by the *MHRA website*. If the event meets the criteria of a serious breach for a sponsored Interventional or Research study, a report is to be completed and sent to the relevant ethics committee in accordance with the *Research Ethics Committee Standard Operating Procedures*. In most cases the CI or PI and research team will agree with the assessment on whether a serious breach has occurred. However, if a difference of opinion remains following extensive discussions the event should be escalated to the Research Governance Operations Manager and Sponsor Oversight Group (SOG) members for a decision; and the reasons behind the decision made should be documented and filed appropriately.

5.	JRMO GCP & Governance Manager	**For Sponsored MHRA-regulated studies notify the MHRA about the serious breach within 7 days.**
		Details about how to submit to the MHRA can be found on the *MHRA website*.
		Ensure the notification sent to the MHRA includes the agreed corrective and preventative actions. Also ensure that a copy of the notification is sent to the study team and filed in the JRMO sponsor oversight files.
		The MHRA will confirm receipt of the serious breach.
6.	CI (Or delegate)	**Notify the REC about the serious breach using the agreed documents**
		For MHRA regulated studies:
		Notify the REC within 7 days, as per HRA website. Please copy the GCP manager in when making this submission.
		For Interventional/Research studies Notify the REC within 7 days.
		A cover letter should also be submitted to the REC and the generic governance mailbox *(research.safety@qmul.ac.uk)* copied in.
7.	JRMO GCP & Governance Manager and / or CI (Or delegate)	**Provide relevant information and follow up to the MHRA and/or REC.**
		The GCP & Governance Manager and CI (or delegate) should work together to provide relevant additional information to the MHRA and/or REC and follow up any further actions the MHRA and/or REC request.
		The GCP & Governance Manager and CI (or delegate) must ensure all required actions are completed and that the breach is then closed.
		The MHRA will formally email to state no further information is required.
		The REC will acknowledge in writing and further information or clarification may be requested until the event is closed.
	Serious breaches for Barts Health and Queen Mary Hosted studies	
8.	PI (or delegate)	**Inform the JRMO of potential serious breach and forward all relevant paperwork.**
9.	JRMO GCP & Governance Manager and / or QA Manager	**Review potential serious breach**
		Review serious breach and record with study documentation. As it is the sponsor's responsibility to assess the breach and ensure resolution, the GCP & Governance Manager will assist only where requested or where necessary.

Non-Compliance reporting for all study types (Non-Serious breach)		
10.	QA Manager or agreed delegate/ Senior GCP and Governance manager	**Initial review of the non-compliance and escalate if required.** Confirm receipt of the non-compliance notification, via email, to the sender of the event. Review and assess the non-compliance and request any follow-up details. Review event and if major or critical or a potential serious breach discuss with the GCP and Governance Manager. Agree who from the Governance section, where applicable, would be the owner of the event. The owner will ensure the CAPA is completed. Following review and assessment, should the QA Manager have any immediate concerns, the event will be escalated to the Senior GCP and Governance manager or delegate. Following review and assessment, if the Senior GCP and Governance Manager has any immediate concerns, the event will be escalated to the Research Governance Operations Manager.
11.	QA Manager or agreed delegate	**Add event to JRMO non-compliance log.** The QA manager will log the incident on the non-compliance log, categorise as appropriate, update with all significant information and retain all pertinent correspondence. Further details see *associated document 1 guidance document*.
12.	QA Manager / GCP and Governance Managers	**Ensure that each non-compliance is actioned and subsequently closed.** The QA Manager will work with the GCP and Governance Managers (and where applicable the event owner) to ensure all non-compliances are actioned, with sufficient CAPA plan in place and subsequently closed; and that all relevant documentation is filed in the JRMO sponsor oversight file.
Further escalation, review follow up of all events		
13.	QA Manager/Non-Compliance Owner/Study Group	**RCA** RCA process will be implemented where events are reported as a serious breach, non-compliances are classified as critical, where multiple majors occur in one study or where a non-compliance remains unresolved or a direct CAPA plan is difficult to establish. The *RCA proforma (Associated Document 3)* is used to document this procedure. Further details on RCA can be found in *Associated Document 1 JRMO Non-Compliance guidance document.*

14.	Non-Compliance group	**Non-Compliance meeting review** All non-compliances are reported, discussed and any required actions taken within the non-compliance meeting.
15.	QA Manager or agreed delegate	**Escalating non-compliances.** As appropriate and depending on severity of the incident and lack of researcher engagement, an escalation to the Quality Management System (QMS) group will occur. If unresolved or the identification of a serious or persistent non-compliance by the QMS and the Research Governance Operations manager, escalation to SOG may be required.
16.	SOG	**SOG review** Members of the SOG will receive papers detailing all open and closed non-compliances for that time period to review as part of the sponsor SOG meeting, which occurs approximately every 3 months. At each meeting, the Research Governance Operations Manager and/or GCP and Governance Manager will present updates on escalated non-compliances. The group will review and discuss non-compliance trends, any serious or persistent non-compliance and agree actions to be taken to secure compliance and prevent reoccurrences.
	Event Closure	
17.	QA Manager or agreed delegate	**For Critical and Major Non-compliances, issue a closure certificate** When a non-compliance has sufficiently been actioned and is closed, the QA Manager will provide the study group with a completed *non-compliance closure notification (AD 4)* by email. Closure certificates for critical non-compliances will be issued following review by the SOG. Major non-compliances closure certificated will be issued after the non-compliance meeting. Non-compliances reported through the NHS DATIX platform will not be issued with a closure certificate.

Change control

This section outlines changes from version **5.0** to version **6.0**

Section changed	Summary and description of changes
Definitions	Definitions section moved to guidance
Section 4	Removal of serious breach forms as associated documents in favour of links to the MHRA website
Section 17	Closure certificates only issued to Critical and Major non-compliances
Section 17	Closure certificates issued after non-compliance meeting for Major and after SOG for Critical

List of appendices

There are no appendices associated with this SOP.

List of associated documents

Document ref.	Document name
Associated Document 1	Non-Compliance guidance document
Associated Document 2	Non-Compliance notification template
Associated Document 3	Roof Cause Analysis Proforma
Associated Document 4	Non-Compliance notification of closure certificate

JRMO SOP 31 Non-Compliance and Serious Breach reporting v6.0 20.04.2023 FINAL
CONTROLLED DOCUMENT - Uncontrolled if printed or saved locally

Page 7 of 7